

# THE CYCLIC HOMOLOGY OF MONOGENIC EXTENSIONS IN THE NONCOMMUTATIVE SETTING

GRACIELA CARBONI, JORGE A. GUCCIONE, AND JUAN J. GUCCIONE

ABSTRACT. We study the Hochschild and cyclic homologies of noncommutative monogenic extensions. As an application we compute the Hochschild and cyclic homologies of the rank 1 Hopf algebras introduced in [K-R].

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be a commutative ring with 1. A monogenic extension of  $k$  is a  $k$ -algebra  $k[x]/\langle f \rangle$ , where  $f \in k[x]$  is a monic polynomial. In [F-G-G] this concept was generalized to the non-commutative setting. Examples are the rank 1 Hopf algebras in characteristic zero, recently introduced in [K-R]. In the paper [F-G-G], mentioned above, it was computed the Hochschild cohomology ring of these extensions. In the present paper we study their Hochschild and cyclic homology groups. The main result, obtained by us, is that, for any monogenic extension  $A$  of a  $k$ -algebra  $K$ , there exists a small mixed complex  $(C_*^S(A), d_*, D_*)$ , giving the Hochschild and cyclic homology of  $A$  relative to  $K$ . When  $K$  is a separable  $k$ -algebra this gives the absolute Hochschild and cyclic homology groups. The mixed complex  $(C^S(A), d_*, D_*)$  is enough simple to allow us to compute the homology of each rank 1 Hopf algebras.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 1 we give some preliminaries we need. In particular we recall the simple  $\Upsilon$ -projective resolution of a monogenic extension  $A/K$  (where  $\Upsilon$  is the family of all  $A$ -bimodule epimorphisms which split as  $K$ -bimodule map), build in [F-G-G]. In Section 2 we use the mentioned above resolution to build a complex  $C^S(A, M) = (C_*^S(A, M), d_*)$  giving the relative to  $K$  Hochschild homology of  $A$  relative to  $M$ , for each  $A$ -bimodule  $M$  (symmetric over  $k$ ). Then, we obtain explicit computations under suitable

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16E40; Secondary 16S36.

*Key words and phrases.* Hochschild homology, cyclic homology, monogenic extensions.

Supported by UBACYT X0294.

Supported by PICT 12330, UBACYT X0294 and CONICET.

Supported by PICT 12330, UBACYT X0294 and CONICET.

hypothesis. In Section 3 we prove that  $C^S(A, A)$  is the Hochschild complex of a mixed complex. This generalizes the main result of [Bach]. Then, we use this result to compute the cyclic homology of  $A$  in several cases, including the rank 1 Hopf algebras.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some well known definitions and results, and we fix some notations that we will use in the rest of the paper.

**1.1. A simple resolution for a noncommutative monogenic extension.** In this subsection we recall some definitions and results proved in [F-G-G]. Let  $k$  be a commutative ring,  $K$  an associative  $k$ -algebra, which we do not assume to be commutative, and  $\alpha$  a  $k$ -algebra endomorphism of  $K$ . Consider the Ore extension  $B = K[x, \alpha]$ , that is the algebra generated by  $K$  and  $x$  subject to the relations

$$x\lambda = \alpha(\lambda)x \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in K.$$

Let  $f = x^n + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x^{n-i}$  be a monic polynomial of degree  $n \geq 2$ , where each coefficient  $\lambda_i \in K$  satisfies  $\alpha(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i$  and  $\lambda_i \lambda = \alpha^i(\lambda) \lambda_i$  for every  $\lambda \in K$ . Sometimes we will write  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i x^{n-i}$ , assuming that  $\lambda_0 = 1$ . The monogenic extension of  $K$  associated with  $\alpha$  and  $f$  is the quotient  $A = B/\langle f \rangle$ . It is easy to see that  $\{1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$  is a left  $K$ -basis of the algebra  $A$ . Moreover, given  $P \in B$ , there exist unique  $\overline{P}$  and  $\ddot{P}$  in  $B$  such that

$$P = \overline{P}f + \ddot{P} \quad \text{and} \quad \ddot{P} = 0 \text{ or } \deg \ddot{P} < n.$$

In this paper, unadorned tensor product  $\otimes$  means  $\otimes_K$  and all the maps are  $k$ -linear. Given a  $K$ -bimodule  $M$ , we let  $M \otimes$  denote the quotient  $M/[M, K]$ , where  $[M, K]$  is the  $k$ -module generated by the commutators  $m\lambda - \lambda m$  with  $\lambda \in K$  and  $m \in M$ . Let  $A_{\alpha^r}^2 = A_{\alpha^r} \otimes A$ , where  $A_{\alpha^r}$  is  $A$  endowed with the regular left  $A$ -module structure and with the right  $K$ -module structure twisted by  $\alpha^r$ , that is, if  $a \in A_{\alpha^r}$  and  $\lambda \in K$ , then  $a \cdot \lambda = a\alpha^r(\lambda)$ . We recall that

$$\frac{T}{Tx} : B \rightarrow A_{\alpha}^2$$

is the unique  $K$ -derivation such that  $\frac{Tx}{Tx} = 1 \otimes 1$ . Notice that

$$\frac{Tx^i}{Tx} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} x^{\ell} \otimes x^{i-\ell-1}.$$

Let  $\Upsilon$  be the family of all  $A$ -bimodule epimorphisms which split as  $K$ -bimodule maps.

**Theorem 1.1.** ([F-G-G, Theorem 2.1]) *The complex*

$$C'_S(A) = \cdots \longrightarrow A^2_{\alpha^{2n+1}} \xrightarrow{d'_5} A^2_{\alpha^{2n}} \xrightarrow{d'_4} A^2_{\alpha^{n+1}} \xrightarrow{d'_3} A^2_{\alpha^n} \xrightarrow{d'_2} A^2_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{d'_1} A^2,$$

where

$$d'_{2m+1}: A^2_{\alpha^{mn+1}} \rightarrow A^2_{\alpha^{mn}} \quad \text{and} \quad d'_{2m}: A^2_{\alpha^{mn}} \rightarrow A^2_{\alpha^{(m-1)n+1}},$$

are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d'_{2m+1}(1 \otimes 1) &= x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x, \\ d'_{2m}(1 \otimes 1) &= \frac{Tf}{Tx} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{n-i} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} x^\ell \otimes x^{i-\ell-1}, \end{aligned}$$

is a  $\Upsilon$ -projective resolution of  $A$ .

Let  $(A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes*} \otimes A, b')$  be the canonical Hochschild resolution relative to  $K$ . Here  $\overline{A} = A/K$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** ([F-G-G, Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3]) *There are comparison maps*

$\phi'_*: C'_S(A) \rightarrow (A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes*} \otimes A, b')$  and  $\psi'_*: (A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes*} \otimes A, b') \rightarrow C'_S(A)$ , which are inverse one of each other up to homotopy. These maps are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_0(1 \otimes 1) &= 1 \otimes 1, \\ \phi'_1(1 \otimes 1) &= 1 \otimes x \otimes 1, \\ \phi'_{2m}(1 \otimes 1) &= \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m,1}} \otimes 1, \\ \phi'_{2m+1}(1 \otimes 1) &= \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m,1}} \otimes x \otimes 1, \\ \psi'_{2m}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{i}_{1,2m}}) &= \overline{x^{i_1+i_2}} \overline{x^{i_3+i_4}} \cdots \overline{x^{i_{2m-1}+i_{2m}}} \otimes 1, \\ \psi'_{2m+1}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{i}_{1,2m+1}}) &= \overline{x^{i_1+i_2}} \overline{x^{i_3+i_4}} \cdots \overline{x^{i_{2m-1}+i_{2m}}} \frac{T(x^{i_{2m+1}})}{Tx}, \end{aligned}$$

where

- $\mathbb{I}_m = \{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m : 1 \leq i_j \leq n \text{ for all } j\},$
- $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}} = \{(l_1, \dots, l_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m : 1 \leq l_j < i_j \text{ for all } j\},$
- $\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} = \lambda_{n-i_1} \cdots \lambda_{n-i_m},$
- $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m,1}} = x \otimes x^{\ell_m} \otimes \cdots \otimes x \otimes x^{\ell_1},$
- $|\mathbf{i} - \ell| = \sum_{j=1}^m (i_j - \ell_j).$
- $\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{i}_{1r}} = 1 \otimes x^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_r} \otimes 1,$

**Proposition 1.3.**  $\psi'_*\phi'_* = \text{id}$  and a homotopy  $\omega'_{*+1}$  from  $\phi'_*\psi'_*$  to  $\text{id}$  is recursively defined by  $\omega'_1 = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\omega'_{r+1}(\mathbf{x} \otimes 1) &= (-1)^r(\phi'_r\psi'_r - \text{id} - \omega'_rb'_r)(\mathbf{x} \otimes 1) \otimes 1 \\ &= (-1)^r\phi'_r\psi'_r(\mathbf{x} \otimes 1) \otimes 1 - \omega'_r(\mathbf{x}) \otimes 1,\end{aligned}$$

for  $\mathbf{x} \in A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r}$ .

*Proof.* The equality  $\psi'_*\phi'_* = \text{id}$  follows immediately from the definitions. For the second assertion see [G-G, Proposition 1.2.1].  $\square$

**1.2. Mixed complexes.** In this subsection we recall briefly the notion of mixed complex. For more details about this concept we refer to [K] and [B].

A mixed complex  $(X, b, B)$  is a graded  $C$ -module  $(X_r)_{r \geq 0}$ , endowed with morphisms  $b: X_r \rightarrow X_{r-1}$  and  $B: X_r \rightarrow X_{r+1}$ , such that

$$bb = 0, \quad BB = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Bb + bB = 0.$$

A morphism of mixed complexes  $f: (X, b, B) \rightarrow (Y, d, D)$  is a family  $f_r: X_r \rightarrow Y_r$  of maps, such that  $df = fb$  and  $Df = fB$ . A mixed complex  $\mathcal{X} = (X, b, B)$  determines a double complex

$$\text{BP}(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b \\ \cdots & \xleftarrow{B} & X_3 & \xleftarrow{B} & X_2 & \xleftarrow{B} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{B} & X_0 \\ & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & & & \\ \cdots & \xleftarrow{B} & X_2 & \xleftarrow{B} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{B} & X_0 & & \\ & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & & & \\ \cdots & \xleftarrow{B} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{B} & X_0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow b & & & & & & \\ \cdots & \xleftarrow{B} & X_0 & & & & & & \end{array}$$

By deleting the positively numbered columns we obtain a subcomplex  $\text{BN}(\mathcal{X})$  of  $\text{BP}(\mathcal{X})$ . Let  $\text{BN}'(\mathcal{X})$  be the kernel of the canonical surjection from  $\text{BN}(\mathcal{X})$  to  $(X, b)$ . The quotient double complex  $\text{BP}(\mathcal{X})/\text{BN}'(\mathcal{X})$  is denoted by  $\text{BC}(\mathcal{X})$ . The homologies  $\text{HC}_*(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $\text{HN}_*(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\text{HP}_*(\mathcal{X})$ , of the total complexes of  $\text{BC}(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $\text{BN}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\text{BP}(\mathcal{X})$  respectively, are called the cyclic, negative and periodic homologies of  $\mathcal{X}$ . The homology  $\text{HH}_*(\mathcal{X})$ , of  $(X, b)$ , is called the Hochschild homology of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Finally, it is clear that a morphism  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  of mixed complexes induces

a morphism from the double complex  $\mathbf{BP}(\mathcal{X})$  to the double complex  $\mathbf{BP}(\mathcal{Y})$ . Let  $A$  be a noncommutative monogenic extension of  $K$ . The normalized mixed complex of  $A$  relative to  $K$  is  $(A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes^*} \otimes, b, B)$ , where  $b$  is the canonical Hochschild boundary map and

$$B([a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r]) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{ir} [1 \otimes a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1}],$$

in which  $[a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r]$  denotes the class of  $a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r$  in  $A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes^*} \otimes$ . The cyclic, negative, periodic and Hochschild homologies  $\mathrm{HC}_*^K(A)$ ,  $\mathrm{HN}_*^K(A)$ ,  $\mathrm{HP}_*^K(A)$  and  $\mathrm{HH}_*^K(A)$  of  $A$  are the respective homologies of  $(A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes^*} \otimes, b, B)$ .

**1.3. The perturbation lemma.** Next we recall the perturbation lemma. We give the more general version introduced in [C].

A homotopy equivalence data

$$(1) \quad (Y, \partial) \begin{matrix} \xleftarrow{p} \\ \xrightarrow{i} \end{matrix} (X, d), \quad h: X_* \rightarrow X_{*+1},$$

consists of the following:

- (1) Chain complexes  $(Y, \partial)$ ,  $(X, d)$  and quasi-isomorphisms  $i$  and  $p$  between them,
- (2) A homotopy  $h$  from  $ip$  to  $\mathrm{id}$ .

A perturbation  $\delta$  of (1) is a map  $\delta: X_* \rightarrow X_{*-1}$  such that  $(d + \delta)^2 = 0$ . We call it small if  $\mathrm{id} - \delta h$  is invertible. In this case we write

$$\Delta = (\mathrm{id} - \delta h)^{-1} \delta$$

and we consider

$$(2) \quad (Y, \partial^1) \begin{matrix} \xleftarrow{p^1} \\ \xrightarrow{i^1} \end{matrix} (X, d + \delta), \quad h^1: X_* \rightarrow X_{*+1},$$

with

$$\partial^1 = \partial + p\Delta i, \quad i^1 = i + h\Delta i, \quad p^1 = p + p\Delta h, \quad h^1 = h + h\Delta h.$$

A deformation retract is a homotopy equivalence data such that  $pi = \mathrm{id}$ . A deformation retract is called special if  $hi = 0$ ,  $ph = 0$  and  $hh = 0$ .

In the case considered in this paper the map  $\delta h$  is locally nilpotent, and so  $(\mathrm{id} - \delta h)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\delta h)^j$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** ([C]) *If  $\delta$  is a small perturbation of the homotopy equivalence data (1), then the perturbed data (2) is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover, if (1) is a special deformation retract, then (2) is also.*

2. HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF  $A$ 

Given an  $A$ -bimodule  $M$ , we let  $[M, K]_{\alpha^j}$  denote the  $k$ -submodule of  $M$  generated by the twisted commutators  $[m, \lambda]_{\alpha^j} = m\alpha^j(\lambda) - \lambda m$ . As usual, we let  $A^e$  and  $H_*^K(A, M)$  denote the enveloping algebra  $A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}$  of  $A$  and the relative to  $K$  Hochschild homology of  $A$  with coefficients in  $M$ , respectively. When  $M = A$  we will write  $\text{HH}_*^K(A)$  instead of  $H_*^K(A, A)$ .

In the following proposition we use the same notations as in Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -bimodule. The following facts hold:*

(1) *The chain complex*

$$C^S(A, M) = \cdots \xrightarrow{d_4} \frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha^{n+1}}} \xrightarrow{d_3} \frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha^n}} \xrightarrow{d_2} \frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{d_1} \frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha^0}},$$

where the boundaries are the maps defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2m+1}([\mathbf{m}]) &= [\mathbf{m}x - x\mathbf{m}], \\ d_{2m}([\mathbf{m}]) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} [\lambda_{n-i} x^{i-\ell-1} \mathbf{m} x^\ell], \end{aligned}$$

in which  $[\mathbf{m}]$  denotes the class of  $\mathbf{m} \in M$  in  $\frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha^{mn+1}}}$  and  $\frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha^{mn}}}$  respectively, computes  $H_*^K(A, M)$ .

(2) *The maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_*: C^S(A, M) &\rightarrow (M \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes*} \otimes, b_*), \\ \psi_*: (M \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes*} \otimes, b_*) &\rightarrow C^S(A, M), \end{aligned}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0([\mathbf{m}]) &= [\mathbf{m}], \\ \phi_1([\mathbf{m}]) &= [\mathbf{m} \otimes x], \\ \phi_{2m}([\mathbf{m}]) &= \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} [\lambda_{n-\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{m} x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m,1}}], \\ \phi_{2m+1}([\mathbf{m}]) &= \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} [\lambda_{n-\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{m} x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m,1}} \otimes x], \\ \psi_{2m}([\mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}_{1,2m}}]) &= [\overline{\mathbf{m} x^{i_1+i_2}} \cdots \overline{x^{i_{2m-1}+i_{2m}}}], \\ \psi_{2m+1}([\mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}_{1,2m+1}}]) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{i_{2m+1}-1} [x^{i_{2m+1}-\ell-1} \overline{\mathbf{m} x^{i_1+i_2}} \cdots \overline{x^{i_{2m-1}+i_{2m}}} x^\ell], \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{i_{1r}}]$  denotes the class of  $\mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{i_{1r}}$  in  $M \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r}$ , are chain morphisms which are inverse one of each other up to homotopy.

(3) Let

$$\beta: M \otimes_{A^e} A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r+1} \otimes A \rightarrow M \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r+1} \otimes$$

be the map defined by

$$\beta_{r+1}(m \otimes \mathbf{x}_{0,r+2}) = [x_{r+2}mx_0 \otimes \mathbf{x}_{1,r+1}].$$

The composition  $\psi_*\phi_*$  gives the identity map, and the family of maps

$$\omega_{*+1}: M \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes *}\otimes \rightarrow M \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes *+1}\otimes,$$

defined by

$$\omega_{r+1}([m \otimes \mathbf{x}]) = \beta(m \otimes_{A^e} \omega'_{r+1}(1 \otimes \mathbf{x} \otimes 1)),$$

is an homotopy from  $\phi_*\psi_*$  to the identity map.

*Proof.* For the first item, apply the functor  $M \otimes_{A^e} -$  to the resolution  $C'_S(A)$ , and use the identification

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes_{A^e} A_{\alpha j}^2 &\xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha j}} \\ \mathbf{m} \otimes (a \otimes b) &\longmapsto [bma]. \end{aligned}$$

For instance

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2m}([\mathbf{m}]) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} [x^{i-\ell-1} \mathbf{m} \lambda_{n-i} x^\ell] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} [x^{i-\ell-1} \mathbf{m} x^\ell \lambda_{n-i}] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} [\lambda_{n-i} x^{i-\ell-1} \mathbf{m} x^\ell]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\psi_*$  and  $\phi_*$  be the morphism induced by the comparison maps  $\psi'_*$  and  $\phi'_*$ . The second and third item follow easily from this definition in the same manner.  $\square$

When  $M = A$  we will write  $C^S(A)$  instead of  $C^S(A, M)$ .

**2.1. Explicit computations.** The aim of this subsection is to compute the Hochschild homology of  $A$  with coefficients in  $A$ , under suitable hypothesis.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $C_r^S(A)$  denote the  $r$ -th module of  $C^S(A)$ . If there exists  $\check{\lambda} \in \mathcal{Z}(K)$  such that*

- $\alpha^n(\check{\lambda}) = \check{\lambda}$ ,
- $\check{\lambda} - \alpha^i(\check{\lambda})$  is invertible in  $K$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ ,

then

$$C_r^S(A) = \begin{cases} \frac{K}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{mn}}} & \text{if } r = 2m, \\ \frac{K}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1)n}}} x^{n-1} & \text{if } r = 2m + 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By item (1) of Theorem 2.1 we know that

$$C_r^S(A) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{[A, K]_{\alpha^{mn}}} & \text{if } r = 2m, \\ \frac{A}{[A, K]_{\alpha^{mn+1}}} & \text{if } r = 2m + 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover

$$[a, \lambda]_{\alpha^r} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [\lambda'_i, \lambda]_{\alpha^{r+i}} x^i$$

for each  $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda'_i x^i \in A$  and  $\lambda \in K$ . Hence, it will be sufficient to check that if  $i$  is not congruent to 0 module  $n$ , then  $[K, K]_{\alpha^{mn+i}} = K$ . But this follows immediately from the fact that  $\alpha^i(\check{\lambda}) - \check{\lambda}$  is invertible if  $i$  is not congruent to 0 module  $n$  and

$$[\lambda', \check{\lambda}]_{\alpha^{mn+i}} = \lambda' \alpha^{mn+i}(\check{\lambda}) - \check{\lambda} \lambda' = \lambda' (\alpha^i(\check{\lambda}) - \check{\lambda}),$$

since  $\check{\lambda} \in \mathcal{Z}(K)$  and  $\alpha^n(\check{\lambda}) = \check{\lambda}$ . □

**Theorem 2.3.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2, the boundary maps of  $C^S(A)$  are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2m+1}([\lambda]x^{n-1}) &= [(\alpha(\lambda) - \lambda)\lambda_n], \\ d_{2m+2}([\lambda]) &= \left[ \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^\ell(\lambda) \right] x^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $m \geq 0$ . Consequently, if  $\lambda_n = 0$ , then the odd boundary maps are zero.

*Proof.* By item (1) of Theorem 2.1,

$$d_{2m+1}([\lambda]x^{n-1}) = [\lambda x^n - x \lambda x^{n-1}] = [(\lambda - \alpha(\lambda))x^n] = [(\alpha(\lambda) - \lambda)\lambda_n],$$

where the last equality follows from Theorem 2.2. Again by item (1) of Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2m+2}([\lambda]) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} [\lambda_{n-i} x^{i-\ell-1} \lambda x^\ell] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} [\lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{i-\ell-1}(\lambda) x^{i-1}] \\ &= \left[ \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{n-\ell-1}(\lambda) \right] x^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows again from Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

Theorem 2.3 implies that  $\lambda \lambda_n - \alpha^n(\lambda) \lambda_n \in [K, K]_{\alpha^{mn}}$  for all  $\lambda \in K$  and  $m \geq 0$ . Indeed, this can be proved directly from the hypothesis at the beginning of this paper and then it is true with full generality. In fact,

$$\lambda \lambda_n - \alpha^n(\lambda) \lambda_n = \lambda \lambda_n - \lambda_n \lambda = \lambda \alpha^{mn}(\lambda_n) - \lambda_n \lambda.$$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}_0^K(A) &= \frac{K}{[K, K] + \mathrm{Im}(\alpha - \mathrm{id})\lambda_n}, \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2m+1}^K(A) &= \frac{\{\lambda \in K : (\alpha(\lambda) - \lambda)\lambda_n \in [K, K]_{\alpha^{mn}}\}}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1)n}} + \mathrm{Im}\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^\ell\right)} x^{n-1}, \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2m+2}^K(A) &= \frac{\{\lambda \in K : \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^\ell(\lambda) \in [K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1)n}}\}}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1)n}} + \mathrm{Im}(\alpha - \mathrm{id})\lambda_n}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.5.** Note that the results in Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, and Corollary 2.4 do not depend on  $f$ , with the exception of its degree  $n$  and its independent term  $\lambda_n$ .

Assume now that  $k$  is a field, the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2 are fulfilled and  $\alpha$  is diagonalizable. Let  $\omega_1 = 1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_s$  be the eigenvalues of  $\alpha$  and let  $K^{\omega_h}$  be the eigenspace of eigenvalue  $\omega_h$ . Write

$$[K, K]_{\alpha^r}^{\omega_h} = K^{\omega_h} \cap [K, K]_{\alpha^r}.$$

Note that  $1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in K^\times$ . Moreover, using the properties of  $\check{\lambda}$  and that  $\alpha$  is an algebra endomorphism, it is easy to see that there is a primitive  $n$ -th root of 1 in  $k$  and that all the  $n$ -th roots of 1 in  $k$  are eigenvalues of  $\alpha$ . Consequently, the characteristic of  $k$  does not divide  $n$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *The chain complex  $C^S(A)$  decomposes as a direct sum  $C^S(A) = \bigoplus_{h=1}^s C^{S,\omega_h}(A)$ , where*

$$C_r^{S,\omega_h}(A) = \begin{cases} \frac{K^{\omega_h}}{[K,K]_{\alpha^{mn}}^{\omega_h}} & \text{if } r = 2m, \\ \frac{K^{\omega_h}}{[K,K]_{\alpha^{(m+1)n}}^{\omega_h}} x^{n-1} & \text{if } r = 2m + 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover the boundary maps  $d_*^{\omega_h}$  of  $C_r^{S,\omega_h}(A)$  are given by:

$$d_{2m}^{\omega_1}([\lambda]) = \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \omega_h^l \right) [\lambda] x^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{2m+1}^{\omega_h}([\lambda] x^{n-1}) = (\omega_h - 1) [\lambda \lambda_n].$$

*Proof.* It follows easily from Theorem 2.2 and 2.3, since  $\lambda_n \in K^1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $\mathrm{HH}_*^{K,\omega_h}(A)$  denote the homology of  $C^{S,\omega_h}(A)$ . By Theorem 2.6 we know that  $\mathrm{HH}_*^K(A) = \bigoplus_{h=1}^s \mathrm{HH}_*^{K,\omega_h}(A)$ . Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}_0^{K,\omega_h}(A) &= \begin{cases} \frac{K^1}{[K,K]^1} & \text{if } h = 1, \\ \frac{K^{\omega_h}}{[K,K]^{\omega_h + K^{\omega_h} \lambda_n}} & \text{if } h \neq 1, \end{cases} \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2m+1}^{K,\omega_h}(A) &= \begin{cases} \frac{\{\lambda \in K^{\omega_h} : \lambda \lambda_n \in [K,K]_{\alpha^{mn}}^{\omega_h}\}}{[K,K]_{\alpha^{(m+1)n}}^{\omega_h}} x^{n-1} & \text{if } h \neq 1 \text{ and } \omega_h^n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2m+2}^{K,\omega_h}(A) &= \begin{cases} \frac{K^{\omega_h}}{[K,K]_{\alpha^{(m+1)n} + K^{\omega_h} \lambda_n}^{\omega_h}} & \text{if } h \neq 1 \text{ and } \omega_h^n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $\alpha^n$  has finite order  $v$  (that is  $\alpha^{nv} = \mathrm{id}$  and  $\alpha^{nj} \neq \mathrm{id}$  for  $0 < j < v$ ), then

$$\mathrm{HH}_{2m+1}^{K,\omega_h}(A) = \mathrm{HH}_{2(m+v)+1}^{K,\omega_h}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{HH}_{2m+2}^{K,\omega_h}(A) = \mathrm{HH}_{2(m+v)+2}^{K,\omega_h}(A)$$

for all  $m \geq 0$ .

**Example 2.8.** Let  $k$  be a field,  $K = k[G]$  the group  $k$ -algebra of a finite group  $G$  and  $\chi: G \rightarrow k^\times$  a character, where  $k^\times$  is the group of unities of  $k$ . Let  $\alpha: K \rightarrow K$  be the automorphism defined by  $\alpha(g) = \chi(g)g$  and let  $f = x^n + \lambda_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + \lambda_n \in K[x]$  be a monic polynomial whose coefficients satisfy the hypothesis required in the introduction. Assume that there exists  $g_1 \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$  such that  $\chi(g_1)$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of 1. In this section we apply the results obtained in Section 2 to compute the Hochschild homology of  $A = K[x, \alpha]/\langle f \rangle$  relative to  $K$  (if the characteristic of  $k$  is relative prime to the order of  $G$ , then  $k[G]$  is a separable  $k$ -algebra and so, by [G-S, Theorem 1.2],  $\mathrm{HH}_*^K(A)$  coincides

with the absolute Hochschild homology  $\mathrm{HH}_*(A)$  of  $A$ ). Note that the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2 are fulfilled, taking  $\check{\lambda} = g_1$ . In particular the homological behavior of  $A$  is independent of the polynomial  $f$ , with the exception of its degree and its independent term. Since  $\alpha$  is diagonalizable Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 apply. In this case

$$\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_s\} = \chi(G),$$

$$K^{\omega_h} = \bigoplus_{\{g \in G: \chi(g) = \omega_h\}} kg,$$

$$[K, K]_{\alpha^j}^{\omega_h} = \sum_{\{g_1, g_2 \in G: \chi(g_1 g_2) = \omega_h\}} k(\chi^j(g_2)g_1 g_2 - g_2 g_1).$$

As a concrete example take the Dihedral group  $D_{2u}$ . That is the group generated by  $g, h$  and the relations  $g^u = h^2 = 1$  and  $hg = g^{-1}h$ . Consider the character  $\chi: D_{2u} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\chi(g^j h^l) = (-1)^l$ . Let  $A$  be the monogenic extension of  $K = \mathbb{C}[D_{2u}]$  associated with  $\alpha$  and the polynomial  $f = x^2$ . Direct computations show that

$$K^1 = \mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle],$$

$$K^{-1} = \mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle]h,$$

$$[K, K]^1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{[(u-1)/2]} \mathbb{C}(g^j - g^{u-j}),$$

$$[K, K]^{-1} = \mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle](g^2 - 1)h.$$

Hence, applying Corollary 2.7, we obtain

$$\mathrm{HH}_0(A) = \frac{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle]}{\bigoplus_{j=1}^{[(u-1)/2]} \mathbb{C}(g^j - g^{u-j})} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle]h}{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle](g^2 - 1)h},$$

$$\mathrm{HH}_{2m+1}(A) = \frac{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle]h}{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle](g^2 - 1)h}x,$$

$$\mathrm{HH}_{2m+2}(A) = \frac{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle]h}{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle](g^2 - 1)h}.$$

Next we consider another situation in which the cohomology of  $A$  can be compute. The following results are very closed to the ones valid in the commutative setting.

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $\alpha$  is the identity map, then*

$$C_r^S(A) = \frac{K}{[K, K]} \oplus \frac{K}{[K, K]}x \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{K}{[K, K]}x^{n-1} = \frac{A}{[A, A]}.$$

Moreover, the odd coboundary maps  $d_{2m+1}$  of  $C^S(A)$  are zero, and the even coboundary maps  $d_{2m}$  takes  $[a]$  to  $[f'a]$ .

*Proof.* This is immediate.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *If  $\alpha$  is the identity map, then*

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^K(A) &= \frac{A}{[A, A]}, \\ H_{2m+1}^K(A) &= \frac{A}{[A, A] + f'A}, \\ H_{2m+2}^K(A) &= \frac{([A, A] : f')}{[A, A]}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $([A, A] : f') = \{a \in A : f'a \in [A, A]\}$ .

**2.2. Hochschild homology of rank 1 Hopf algebras.** Let  $k$  be a characteristic zero field. Recall that  $k^\times$  denotes the group of unities of  $k$ . Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\chi : G \rightarrow k^\times$  a character. Assume that there exists  $g_1 \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$  such that  $\chi(g_1)$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of 1. In this section we compute the Hochschild homology of  $A = k[G][x, \alpha]/\langle x^n - \xi(g_1^n - 1) \rangle$  over  $k$ , where  $\xi \in k$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(k[G])$  is defined by  $\alpha(g) = \chi(g)g$ . We divide the problem in three cases. The first and second ones give Hochschild homology of rank 1 Hopf algebras. Let  $C_n \subseteq k$  be the set of all  $n$ -th roots of 1.

**$\xi = 0$ .** In this case  $A = K[x, \alpha]/\langle x^n \rangle$ , where  $K = k[G]$ . From Corollary 2.7 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HH}_0(A) &= \frac{K}{[K, K]}, \\ \text{HH}_{2m+1}(A) &= \bigoplus_{w \in C_n \setminus \{1\}} \frac{K^w}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1)n}}^w} x^{n-1}, \\ \text{HH}_{2m+2}(A) &= \bigoplus_{w \in C_n \setminus \{1\}} \frac{K^w}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1)n}}^w}. \end{aligned}$$

**$\xi \neq 0$  and  $\chi^n = \text{id}$ .** In this case  $f = x^n - \xi(g_1^n - 1)$  satisfies the hypothesis required in the introduction (that is  $\alpha(\xi(g_1^n - 1)) = \xi(g_1^n - 1)$  and  $\xi(g_1^n - 1)\lambda = \alpha^n(\lambda)\xi(g_1^n - 1)$ ). Moreover  $K = k[G]$  is separable

over  $k$  and so,  $\mathrm{HH}_*(A) = \mathrm{HH}_*^K(A)$ . By Corollary 2.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{HH}_0(A) &= \frac{K^1}{[K, K]^1} \oplus \bigoplus_{w \in C_n \setminus \{1\}} \frac{K^w}{[K, K]^w + K^w(g_1^n - 1)}, \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2m+1}(A) &= \bigoplus_{w \in C_n \setminus \{1\}} \frac{\{\lambda \in K^w : \lambda(g_1^n - 1) \in [K, K]^w\}}{[K, K]^w} x^{n-1}, \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2m+2}(A) &= \bigoplus_{w \in C_n \setminus \{1\}} \frac{K^w}{[K, K]^w + K^w(g_1^n - 1)}.\end{aligned}$$

**$\xi \neq 0$  and  $\chi^n \neq \mathrm{id}$ .** Let  $g \in G$  such that  $\chi^n(g) \neq 1$ . Since

$$g^{-1}(x^n - \xi(g_1^n - 1))g = \chi^n(g)x^n - \xi(g_1^n - 1),$$

we conclude that the ideal  $\langle x^n - \xi(g_1^n - 1) \rangle$  coincides with the ideal  $\langle x^n, g_1^n - 1 \rangle$ . So,  $A = k[G/\langle g_1^n \rangle][x, \tilde{\alpha}]/\langle x^n \rangle$ , where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the automorphism induced by  $\alpha$ . We consider now  $K = k[G/\langle g_1^n \rangle]$  and  $f = x^n$ . These data satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6. Moreover the algebra  $K = k[G/\langle g_1^n \rangle]$  is separable over  $k$  and so,  $\mathrm{HH}_*(A) = \mathrm{HH}_*^K(A)$ . Thus, by Corollary 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{HH}_0(A) &= \frac{K}{[K, K]}, \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2m+1}(A) &= \bigoplus_{w \in C_n \setminus \{1\}} \frac{K^w}{[K, K]_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(m+1)n}}^w} x^{n-1}, \\ \mathrm{HH}_{2m+2}(A) &= \bigoplus_{w \in C_n \setminus \{1\}} \frac{K^w}{[K, K]_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(m+1)n}}^w}.\end{aligned}$$

### 3. CYCLIC HOMOLOGY OF $A$

In this section we get a mixed complex, simpler than the canonical of Tsygan computing the cyclic homology of a noncommutative monogenic extension  $A$ .

A simple tensor  $a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r \in A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r}$  will be called *monomial* if there exist  $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $0 \leq i_0 < n$  and  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r < n$  such that  $a_0 = \lambda x^{i_0}$  and  $a_j = x^{i_j}$  for  $j > 0$ . We define the *degree* of a monomial tensor

$$\lambda x^{i_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_r} \in A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r},$$

as  $\deg(\lambda x^{i_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_r}) = i_0 + \cdots + i_r$ . Since  $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$  is a basis of  $\overline{A}$  as a left  $K$ -module, each element  $\mathbf{a} \in A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r}$  can be written in a unique way as a sum of monomial tensors. The *degree*  $\deg(\mathbf{a})$ , of  $\mathbf{a}$ , is the maximum of the degrees of its monomial tensors. Since

$[A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r}, K]$  is an homogeneous  $k$ -submodule of  $A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r}$  we have a well defined concept of degree on  $A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes A$ . Similarly it can be defined the *degree*  $\deg(\mathbf{a})$  of an element  $\mathbf{a} \in A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes A$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *It is true that  $\deg(\omega_{r+1}(\mathbf{a})) \leq \deg(\mathbf{a})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{x}_1 = 1 \otimes x^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_r} \otimes 1 \in A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes A$ . By the definition of  $w_{r+1}$  it suffices to show that  $w'_{r+1}(\mathbf{x}_1)$  is a sum of tensors of the form

$$\lambda' x^{j_0} \otimes x^{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{j_{r+2}},$$

with  $j_0 + \cdots + j_{r+2} \leq i_1 + \cdots + i_r$ . From the definitions it follows that

$$\deg(\phi'_r \psi'_r(\mathbf{x}_1)) \leq \deg(\mathbf{x}_1).$$

The proposition follows now by induction on  $r$ , since

$$w'_{r+1}(\mathbf{x}_1) = (-1)^r \phi'_r \psi'_r(\mathbf{x}_1) \otimes 1 - w'_r(\mathbf{x}_2) x^{i_r} \otimes 1,$$

where and  $\mathbf{x}_2 = 1 \otimes x^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_{r-1}} \otimes 1$ .  $\square$

Let  $D_r: C_r^S(A) \rightarrow C_{r+1}^S(A)$  be the composition  $D_r = \phi_{r+1} B_r \psi_r$ .

**Theorem 3.2.**  *$(C_*^S(A), d_*, D_*)$  is a mixed complex, giving the cyclic homology of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* From Theorem 2.1 we get a special deformation retract between the total complexes of the double complexes  $\text{BC}(A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes*} \otimes, b, 0)$  and  $\text{BC}(C_*^S(A), d_*, 0)$ . Consider the perturbation  $B$ . By the perturbation lemma it suffices to prove that  $\psi_{r+2j+1}(B\omega)^j B\phi_r = 0$  for all  $j > 0$ . Assume first that  $r = 2m$ . By the definition of  $\phi_{2m}$  and Proposition 3.1,

$$\deg((B\omega)^j B\phi_{2m}([\lambda' x^j]) < mn + n$$

On the other hand  $\psi_{2m+2j+1}$  vanishes on element of degree lesser or equal of  $(m+j)n$ . The assertion follows by combining theses facts. The case  $r = 2m + 1$  is similar.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *The Connes operator  $D_*$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} D_{2m}([\lambda x^j]) &= \left[ \sum_{h=0}^{j-1} \alpha^{mn+h}(\lambda) x^{j-1} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{u=0}^{m-1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{n-i} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{i-1} \alpha^{nu+l}(\lambda) \right) x^{j+i-1} \right], \\ D_{2m+1}([\lambda x^j]) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < n-1, \\ (\text{id} - \alpha)(\sum_{u=0}^m \alpha^{nu}(\lambda)) & \text{if } j = n-1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Besides the notations introduced in Theorem 1.2 we use the following ones.

- $\check{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{u,1}} = x^{\ell_u} \otimes x \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{\ell_1} \otimes x$ ,
- $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m,u+1}} = x \otimes x^{\ell_m} \otimes \cdots \otimes x \otimes x^{\ell_{u+1}}$ ,
- $|\ell_{u,1}| = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_u + u$ .

We shall first compute  $D_{2m+1}$ . By definition

$$B\phi_{2m+1}([\lambda x^j]) = \sum_{u=0}^m \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \Delta_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell} - \sum_{u=0}^m \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \Upsilon_{\mathbf{i}}^{\ell},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell} &= [\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \alpha^{|\ell_{u,1}|}(\lambda) \otimes \check{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{u,1}} \otimes x^j x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m,u+1}} \otimes x] \\ \Gamma_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell} &= [\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \alpha^{|\ell_{u,1}|+1}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{u,1}} \otimes x \otimes x^j x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m,u+1}}]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\psi_{2m+2}(\Delta_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell}) \neq 0$ , then  $\ell_1 = \cdots = \ell_m = n-1$ . So  $i_1 = \cdots = i_m = n$ . Thus,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2m+2}(\Delta_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell}) = [\alpha^{nu}(\lambda) \overline{x^{j+1}}] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < n-1, \\ [\alpha^{nu}(\lambda)] & \text{if } j = n-1. \end{cases}$$

Similarly,  $\psi_{2m+2}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell}) \neq 0$  implies that  $\ell_1 = \cdots = \ell_m = n-1$ . Hence  $i_1 = \cdots = i_m = n$  and

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2m+2}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell}) = [\alpha^{nu+1}(\lambda) \overline{x^{j+1}}] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < n-1, \\ [\alpha^{nu+1}(\lambda)] & \text{if } j = n-1. \end{cases}$$

The formula for  $D_{2m+1}$  follows immediately from these facts. We now compute  $D_{2m}$ . By definition

$$B\phi_{2m}([\lambda x^j]) = \sum_{u=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} (\Gamma_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell} + \Delta_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell}) + \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \Upsilon_{\mathbf{i}}^{\ell},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell} &= [\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \alpha^{|\ell_{u,1}|}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{u,1}} \otimes x^j x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m,u+1}}], \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell} &= [\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \alpha^{|\ell_{u+1,1}|+1}(\lambda) \otimes x^{\ell_{u+1}} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{u,1}} \otimes x^j x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m,u+2}} \otimes x] \\ \Upsilon_{\mathbf{i}}^{\ell} &= [\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \alpha^{|\ell_{m,1}|}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m,1}} \otimes x^j x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m}]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\psi_{2m+1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i},u}^\ell) \neq 0$ , then  $l_1 = \dots = \widehat{l_{u+1}} = \dots = l_m = n-1$ . In this case  $i_1 = \dots = \widehat{i_{u+1}} = \dots = i_m = n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{2m+1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i},u}^\ell) &= \sum_{h=0}^{l-1} \left[ x^{l-h-1} \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu}(\lambda) \overline{x^{j+i-l-1} x x^h} \right] \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{l-1} \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu+l-h-1}(\lambda) x^{l-h-1} \overline{x^{j+i-l-1} x x^h} \right] \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{l-1} \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu+l-h-1}(\lambda) x^{l-1} \overline{x^{j+i-l-1} x} \right] \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{l-1} \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu+l-h-1}(\lambda) x^{l-1} \left( \overline{x^{j+i-l}} - \overline{x^{j+i-l-1} x} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2m+1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i},u}^\ell) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \sum_{h=0}^{l-1} \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu+l-h-1}(\lambda) x^{l-1} \overline{x^{j+i-l}} \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=2}^i \sum_{h=1}^{l-1} \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu+l-h-1}(\lambda) x^{l-1} \overline{x^{j+i-l}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu+l-1}(\lambda) x^{l-1} \overline{x^{j+i-l}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\psi_{2m+1}(\Delta_{\mathbf{i},u}^\ell) \neq 0$  implies  $l_2 = \dots = l_m = n-1$ . In this case  $i_2 = \dots = i_m = n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{2m+1}(\Delta_{\mathbf{i},u}^\ell) &= \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu+l}(\lambda) x^l \overline{x^{j+i-l-1}} \right] \\ &= \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu+l}(\lambda) \left( \overline{x^{j+i-1}} - x^l \overline{x^{j+i-l-1}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2m+1}(\Delta_{\mathbf{i},u}^\ell) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \alpha^{nu+l}(\lambda) \right) \overline{x^{j+i-1}} \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu+l}(\lambda) x^l \overline{x^{j+i-l-1}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2m+1}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell} + \Delta_{\mathbf{i},u}^{\ell}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu+l-1}(\lambda) x^{l-1} \overline{x^{j+i-l}} \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=2}^i \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu+l-1}(\lambda) x^{l-1} \overline{x^{j+i-l}} \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \alpha^{nu+l}(\lambda) \right) \overline{x^{j+i-1}} \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{nu}(\lambda) \overline{x^{j+i-1}} \right] \\
&\quad + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{n-i} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \alpha^{nu+l}(\lambda) \right) \overline{x^{j+i-1}} \right] \\
&= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{n-i} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{i-1} \alpha^{nu+l}(\lambda) \right) \overline{x^{j+i-1}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly,  $\psi_{2m+1}(\Upsilon_{\mathbf{i}}^{\ell}) = 0$  except if  $l_1 = \dots = l_m = n-1$ . In this last case  $i_1 = \dots = i_m = n$ . So

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2m+1}(\Upsilon_{\mathbf{i}}^{\ell}) = \sum_{h=0}^{j-1} [x^{j-h-1} \alpha^{mn}(\lambda) x^h] = \left[ \sum_{h=0}^{j-1} \alpha^{mn+h}(\lambda) x^{j-1} \right].$$

the formula for  $D_{2m}$  follows easily from all these facts.  $\square$

**3.1. Explicit computations.** In this subsection we compute the cyclic homology of  $A$  with coefficients in  $A$ , under suitable hypothesis. We will freely use the notations introduced at the beginning of Section 2 and below remark 2.5. Recall that by Theorem 2.2, if there exists  $\check{\lambda} \in \mathcal{Z}(K)$  such that

- $\alpha^n(\check{\lambda}) = \check{\lambda}$ ,
- $\check{\lambda} - \alpha^i(\check{\lambda})$  is invertible in  $K$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ ,

then

$$C_r^S(A) = \begin{cases} \frac{K}{[K,K]_{\alpha^{mn}}} & \text{if } r = 2m, \\ \frac{K}{[K,K]_{\alpha^{(m+1)n}}} x^{n-1} & \text{if } r = 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, by Theorem 2.3, the Hochschild boundary maps of the mixed complex  $(C_*^S(A), d_*, D_*)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2m+1}([\lambda]x^{n-1}) &= [(\alpha(\lambda) - \lambda)\lambda_n], \\ d_{2m+2}([\lambda]) &= \left[ \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^\ell(\lambda) \right] x^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We now compute the Connes operator  $D_*$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} D_{2m}([\lambda]) &= 0, \\ D_{2m+1}([\lambda]x^{n-1}) &= \left[ (\text{id} - \alpha) \left( \sum_{u=0}^m \alpha^{nu}(\lambda) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It follows immediately from Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Assume the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6 are fulfilled. Then the mixed complex  $(C_*^S(A), d_*, D_*)$  decomposes as a direct sum*

$$(C_*^S(A), d_*, D_*) = \bigoplus_{h=1}^s (C_*^{S, \omega_h}(A), d_*^{\omega_h}, D_*^{\omega_h}),$$

where the Hochschild complexes  $(C_*^{S, \omega_h}(A), d_*^{\omega_h})$  are as in Theorem 2.6. Moreover the Connes operators  $D_*^{\omega_h}$  satisfy:  $D_{2m}^{\omega_h} = 0$ , and

$$D_{2m+1}^{\omega_h}([\lambda]x^{n-1}) = (1 - \omega_h) \left( \sum_{u=0}^m \omega_h^{nu} \right) [\lambda].$$

*Proof.* It follows immediately from Theorem 3.4.  $\square$

In the rest of this section we assume that  $k$  is a characteristic zero field and that hypothesis of Theorem 2.6 are fulfilled. We let  $\text{HC}_*^{K, \omega_h}(A)$  denote the cyclic homology of  $(C_*^{S, \omega_h}(A), d_*^{\omega_h}, D_*^{\omega_h})$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *The cyclic homology of  $A$  decomposes as*

$$\text{HC}_*^K(A) = \bigoplus_{h=1}^s \text{HC}_*^{K, \omega_h}(A).$$

Moreover, we have:

$$\mathrm{HC}_{2m}^{K, \omega_h}(A) = \begin{cases} \frac{K^1}{[K, K]^1} & \text{if } h = 1, \\ \frac{K^{\omega_h}}{[K, K]^{\omega_h + K^{\omega_h} \lambda_n}} & \text{if } \omega_h^n \neq 1, \\ \frac{K^{\omega_h}}{[K, K]^{\omega_h + K^{\omega_h} \lambda_n^{m+1}}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{HC}_{2m+1}^{K, \omega_h}(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } h = 1 \text{ or } \omega_h^n \neq 1, \\ \frac{\{\lambda \in K^{\omega_h} : \lambda \lambda_n^m \in [K, K]^{\omega_h}\}}{[K, K]_{\alpha(m+1)n}^{\omega_h}} x^{n-1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The first assertion is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5, and the computation of  $\mathrm{HC}_*^{K, \omega_h}$  for  $h = 1$  and for  $\omega_h^n \neq 1$  follows from Corollary 2.7. So, in order to finish the proof it remains to consider the case  $h > 1$  and  $\omega_h^n = 1$ . By Theorems 2.6 and 3.4, the cyclic homology of the mixed complex  $(C_*^{S, \omega_h}(A), d_*^{\omega_h}, D_*^{\omega_h})$ , is the homology of

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \downarrow d^{\omega_h} & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow d^{\omega_h} & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow d^{\omega_h} \\ X_4 & \xleftarrow{l_{2(1-\omega_h)}} & X_3 & \xleftarrow{0} & X_2 & \xleftarrow{l_{1-\omega_h}} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{0} & X_0 \\ \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow d^{\omega_h} & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow d^{\omega_h} & & \\ X_3 & \xleftarrow{0} & X_2 & \xleftarrow{l_{1-\omega_h}} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{0} & X_0 & & \\ \downarrow d^{\omega_h} & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow d^{\omega_h} & & & & \\ X_2 & \xleftarrow{l_{1-\omega_h}} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{0} & X_0 & & & & \\ \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow d^{\omega_h} & & & & & & \\ X_1 & \xleftarrow{0} & X_0 & & & & & & \\ \downarrow d^{\omega_h} & & & & & & & & \\ X_0, & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

where

- $X_{2m} = \frac{K^{\omega_h}}{[K, K]_{\alpha(m)n}^{\omega_h}}$  and  $X_{2m+1} = \frac{K^{\omega_h}}{[K, K]_{\alpha(m+1)n}^{\omega_h}} x^{n-1}$ ,
- $l_{m(1-\omega_h)}([\lambda]) = m(1 - \omega_h)[\lambda]$ ,
- $d_{2m+1}^{\omega_h}([\lambda]x^{n-1}) = (\omega_h - 1)[\lambda \lambda_n]$ .

We first compute the homology in degree  $2m$ . Let

$$\iota: X_0 \rightarrow X_{2m} \oplus X_{2m-2} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_0$$

be the canonical inclusion. By using that each  $l_{i(1-\omega_h)}$  map is an isomorphism it is easy to see that  $\iota$  induces an epimorphism

$$\bar{\iota}: X_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2m}^{K, \omega_h}(A).$$

It is easy to see now that the boundary of

$$([\zeta_{2m+1}], [\zeta_{(2m-1)+1}], \dots, [\zeta_1]) \in X_{2m+1} \oplus X_{(2m-1)+1} \oplus \dots \oplus X_1$$

equals  $i([\lambda])$  if and only if

$$(3) \quad [\zeta_{2i+1}] = \frac{(-1)^{m-i} i!}{m!} [\zeta_{2m+1} \lambda_n^{m-i}] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq m$$

and  $[\zeta_{2m+1} \lambda_n^{m+1}] = [\lambda]$ . The assertion about  $\mathrm{HC}_{2m}^{K, \omega_h}(A)$  follows easily from these facts. We now are going to compute the homology in degree  $2m+1$ . It is immediate that

$$([\zeta_{2m+1}], [\zeta_{(2m-1)+1}], \dots, [\zeta_1]) \in X_{2m+1} \oplus X_{(2m-1)+1} \oplus \dots \oplus X_1$$

is a cycle of degree  $2m+1$  if and only if it satisfies (3) and

$$\zeta_{2m+1} \lambda_n^{m+1} \in [K, K]^{\omega_h}.$$

This ends the computation of  $\mathrm{HC}_{2m+1}^{K, \omega_h}(A)$ . □

**Remark 3.7.** From the above computations it follows that:

- (1) If  $h = 1$  or  $\omega_h^n \neq 1$ , then the map

$$S: \mathrm{HC}_{2m+2}^{K, \omega_h}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2m}^{K, \omega_h}(A)$$

is the identity map.

- (2) If  $h > 1$  and  $\omega_h^n = 1$ , then we have:

- a. The map  $S: \mathrm{HC}_{2m+2}^{K, \omega_h}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2m}^{K, \omega_h}(A)$  is the canonical surjection.
- b. The map  $i: \mathrm{HH}_{2m}^{K, \omega_h}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2m}^{K, \omega_h}(A)$  is given by

$$i([\lambda]) = \frac{1}{m!} [\lambda \lambda_n^m].$$

- c. The map  $B: \mathrm{HC}_{2m}^{K, \omega_h}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_{2m+1}^{K, \omega_h}(A)$  is zero.
- d. The map  $S: \mathrm{HC}_{2m+3}^{K, \omega_h}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2m+1}^{K, \omega_h}(A)$  is given by

$$S([\lambda]x^{n-1}) = \frac{1}{m+1} [\lambda \lambda_n] x^{n-1}.$$

- e. The map  $i: \mathrm{HH}_{2m+1}^{K, \omega_h}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2m+1}^{K, \omega_h}(A)$  is the canonical inclusion.
- f. The map  $B: \mathrm{HC}_{2m+1}^{K, \omega_h}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_{2m+2}^{K, \omega_h}(A)$  is given by

$$B([\lambda]x^{n-1}) = [(m+1)(1-\omega_h))\lambda]x^{n-1}.$$

**Remark 3.8.** Theorem 3.6 applies in particular to the monogenic extensions of finite group algebras  $K = k[G]$  considered in Example 2.8. Note that since  $K$  is a separable  $k$ -algebra, this computes the absolute cyclic homology, as follows easily from [G-S, Theorem 1.2] using the SBI-sequence. We now consider a concrete example. Let  $G = D_{2n}$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $A$  be as in Example 2.8. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HC}_{2m}(A) &= \frac{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle]}{\bigoplus_{j=1}^{[(u-1)/2]} \mathbb{C}(g^j - g^{u-j})} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle]h}{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle](g^2 - 1)h}, \\ \mathrm{HC}_{2m+1}(A) &= \frac{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle]h}{\mathbb{C}[\langle g \rangle](g^2 - 1)h} x. \end{aligned}$$

**3.2. Cyclic homology of rank 1 Hopf algebras.** Let  $k$ ,  $G$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $g_1$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $A$  be as in Subsection 2.2. Here we compute the cyclic homology of  $A$ . Let  $C_n \subseteq k$  be the set of all  $n$ -th roots of 1. As in the above mentioned subsection we consider three cases.

**$\xi = 0$ .** That is  $A = K[x, \alpha]/\langle x^n \rangle$ , where  $K = k[G]$ . From Theorem 3.6 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HC}_{2m}(A) &= \frac{K}{[K, K]}, \\ \mathrm{HC}_{2m+1}(A) &= \bigoplus_{w \in C_n \setminus \{1\}} \frac{K^w}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1)n}}^w} x^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**$\xi \neq 0$  and  $\chi^n = \mathrm{id}$ .** In this case  $A = K[x, \alpha]/\langle x^n - \xi(g_1^n - 1) \rangle$ , where  $K = k[G]$ . Arguing as in Subsection 2.2, but using Theorem 3.6 instead of Corollary 2.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HC}_{2m}(A) &= \frac{K^1}{[K, K]^1} \oplus \bigoplus_{w \in C_n \setminus \{1\}} \frac{K^w}{[K, K]^w + K^w(g_1^n - 1)^{m+1}}, \\ \mathrm{HC}_{2m+1}(A) &= \bigoplus_{w \in C_n \setminus \{1\}} \frac{\{\lambda \in K^w : \lambda(g_1^n - 1)^m \in [K, K]^w\}}{[K, K]^w} x^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**$\xi \neq 0$  and  $\chi^n \neq \mathrm{id}$ .** In this case  $A = K[x, \tilde{\alpha}]/\langle x^n \rangle$ , where the algebra  $K = k[G/\langle g_1^n \rangle]$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the automorphism induced by  $\alpha$ . By Theorem 3.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HC}_{2m}(A) &= \frac{K}{[K, K]}, \\ \mathrm{HC}_{2m+1}(A) &= \bigoplus_{w \in C_n \setminus \{1\}} \frac{K^w}{[K, K]_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(m+1)n}}^w} x^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- [Bach] Jorge A. Guccione, Juan J. Guccione, María J. Redondo, Andrea Solotar y Orlando E. Villamayor, *Cyclic Homology of Monogenic Algebras*, Communications in Algebra 22 (12), 4899-4904 (1994).
- [B] D. Burghlea, *Cyclic homology and algebraic K-theory of spaces I*, Boulder Colorado 1983, Contemp. Math. 55, 89-115 (1986).
- [C] M. Crainic, *On the perturbation lemma, and deformations*, arXiv:Math. AT/0403266 (2004).
- [F-G-G] M. Farinati, J. A. Guccione and J. J. Guccione, *The cohomology of monogenic extensions in the noncommutative setting*, Preprint, (2007).
- [G-S] M. Gerstenhaber and S. D. Schack, *Relative Hochschild cohomology, rigid algebras, and the Bockstein*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 43, no. 1, 53-74, (1986).
- [G-G] J. A. Guccione and J. J. Guccione, *Hochschild (co)homology of Hopf crossed products*, K-theory 25, 139-169, (2002).
- [K] K. Kassel, *Cyclic homology, comodules and mixed complexes*, Journal of Algebra 107, 195-216 (1987).
- [K-R] L. Krop and D. Radford, *Finite dimensional Hopf algebras of rank 1 in characteristic 0*, Journal of Algebra 302, no. 1, 214-230 (2006).

CÍCLO BÁSICO COMÚN, PABELLÓN 3 - CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, (1428) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

*E-mail address:* gcarbo@dm.uba.ar

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES, PABELLÓN 1 - CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, (1428) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

*E-mail address:* vander@dm.uba.ar

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES, PABELLÓN 1 - CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, (1428) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

*E-mail address:* jjgucci@dm.uba.ar